

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Election/Restrictions

The Examiner identified two groups of claims in this application namely Group I (claims 1 to 41) and Group II (claims 42 and 43). The Examiner required restriction to one of these groups of claims.

Applicant hereby elects Group I (claims 1 to 41) for further prosecution in this application.

The Examiner then went on to identify four patentably distinct species of the invention of Group I. The Examiner required the Applicant to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits and to include a listing of all claims readable on this elected species.

Applicant hereby elects Species B (Fig. 1, two parallel vertical support rods and lateral rod – claims 4 to 7 and 16).

The claims readable onto this species are listed below:

Claim 4
Claim 5
Claim 6
Claim 7
Claim 16.

In view of the above, favourable reconsideration of this application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Edwin Gale
Reg No. 28,584
Tel (613) 237-6900
Our File No. 90000-A
January 13, 2005

